

**Syracuse Division Bundled Bridges Contract # D800001**  
**RFP Questions and Answers**  
**Question 66-71**

66. In part 6, drawing UT-1 specifies 3 antennas for the Exit 35 bridge transmit system. Part 3 Section 14 says to install 4 transmit antennas. Can NYSTA provide clarity to which they are requiring?

**Answer:** There should be 4 antennas and the directive drawing will be fixed via amendment.

67. The design reports state to “See the Hazardous Materials Screening Report for sampling and laboratory results,” but the hazardous material reports cannot be found. We understand multiple bridges have asbestos (Judd, North Main, etc.) but we are unable to locate the reports quantifying the amounts and qualifying the types. Are we overlooking them or is this something you can provide?

**Answer:** Humble apologies. The documents needed have been located and are being included in the next amendment.

68. We think this to be our final question during the allowable period (perhaps one further follow-up to Q&A 65 by Monday’s deadline), so we express appreciation through this process and kindly request the Authority respond to the following:

CONTRACT DOCUMENTS Part 3 – Project Requirements, Section 16 Pavement Design and Construction

Our Team is not familiar with PG64Y22 as being a standard in New York State. Would the Authority confirm the asphalt binder given in the second paragraph of section 16.3?

**Answer:** Sorry typo error. This should read PG64V22. This will be corrected via amendment.

69. In follow-up to Q&A 65, we respectfully request the Authority’s response to the following:

PART 3 – PROJECT REQUIREMENTS, Section 3 Environmental; PART 7 – ENGINEERING DATA; DB SECTION 107 LEGAL RELATIONS AND RESPONSIBILITY TO THE PUBLIC; DB SECTION 108 PROSECUTION AND PROGRESS; DB SECTION 109 PRICE, PROGRESS, AND PAYMENT; DB SECTION 113 DESIGN-BUILDERS QUALITY CONTROL PLAN

The last sentence of Section 3.3.5 of Final RFP - Part 3, states “The complete Asbestos Containing Material Survey, dated February 2017, is located in Part 7 – Engineering Data.” In fact, the stated report is not in Part 7. We do find, in Reference Documents, not in Contract Documents, ACM information relative to each bridge. We respectfully request confirmation, or for the Authority to otherwise clarify, if this ACM information is intended only for reference and only to be relied upon at the Design-Builder’s risk.

**Answer:** See the answer to questions 65 and 67.

**Syracuse Division Bundled Bridges Contract # D800001**  
**RFP Questions and Answers**  
**Question 66-71**

70. Attached is what we could find in the FDR's for hazardous material reports (Asbestos and Lead). We don't have any information on Bear Trap, Exit 35, Judd, and Millers Grove. Please look at the fourth attachment (FDR pages mentioning Hazardous Materials Reports), this is where the report is referenced and located at the other three sites but absence from the attached 4 sites listed above. Is this something you can provide or at this point provide us an allowance item at least to cover the costs associated with proper disposal?

**Answer:** See the answer to questions 65 and 67.

71. We have the following additional questions to ask regarding the D800001 RFP:

1) Are we able to contact Buckeye to further review project requirements?

**Answer:** We hope to be providing you the ability to contact Buckeye shortly but wait for notification.

2) Are we able to contact Jim Ryan, ITS Maintenance Supervisor to review specifics of their system at BIN 5510090?

**Answer:** No, see amendment, may clarify what you are looking for. These are directive drawings.