EXHIBIT II

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the applicant or project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Applicant/Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project:			
Adoption of New Toll Schedule Regulation for the New York State Thruway Authority	("Authority")		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map):			
New York State Thruway System, Statewide			
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need):			
The proposed action is the Authority's adoption of a new toll schedule regulation for the finance the Authority's Capital Plan, maintain and operate the Thruway System, and of Fiscal Management Guidelines. The proposed action would, on January 1, 2024 and their prior levels. Out-of-state E-ZPass and Tolls by Mail tolls would be increased from respectively, to 75% above the NY E-ZPass rate. In addition, at the Governor Mario Moll would be increased by 50 cents a year from January 2024 through January 2027, their discount change from the current 17% to 20%, and the 40% commuter discount would be increased proportionately to the car rates. NOTE THAT THE PROPOSED A PHYSICAL ALTERATIONS, ONLY TOLL RATES ARE AFFECTED. The proposed toil only a very minimal impact on alternate route congestion, air quality, noise, or any other the state of the st	comply with the Authority's Gene January 1, 2027, increase the b In their current 15% and 30% differ. M. Cuomo Bridge ("GMMCB"), the Passenger cars on the Westche program would be maintained. CTION DOES NOT INCLUDE A I modification will divert a small of I modification will diver the small of I modification will be small of I modification will divert a small of I modification will be s	ral Revenue Bond Resolution and ase NY E-ZPass rates by 5% from erentials above the NY E-ZPass rate, le base NY E-ZPass passenger car ster/Rockland Resident Plan will see commercial rates at he GMMCB NY CONSTRUCTION OR	
Name of Applicant/Sponsor:	Telephone: 518-436-2810 E-Mail: Elizabeth.Novak@thruway.ny.gov		
New York State Thruway Authority			
Address: 200 Southern Boulevard			
City/PO: Albany	State: NY	Zip Code: 12209	
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role):	Telephone:		
	E-Mail:		
Address:			
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor):	Telephone:		
	E-Mail:		
Address:		·	
City/PO:	State:	Zip Code:	

Page 1 of 13

B. Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)				
Government Ent	ity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Applicati (Actual or)	
a. City Council, Town Board, or Village Board of Trustees		·		
b. City, Town or Village Planning Board or Commiss	□Yes ☑ No ion			
c. City, Town or Village Zoning Board of Ap	□Yes☑No peals			
d. Other local agencies	□Yes ☑ No	N	,	
e. County agencies	□Yes ☑ No			
f. Regional agencies	∐Yes Z No			
g. State agencies	Z Yes□No	NYSTA Board Approval of Toll Increase NYS Department of State/Coastal consistency		
h. Federal agencies	□Yes Z No		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
i. Coastal Resources. i. Is the project site within a	a Coastal Area, o	or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland W	aterway?	□Yes Z No
ii. Is the project site locatediii. Is the project site within a	in a community Coastal Erosion	with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalizat Hazard Area?	ion Program?	✓ Yes□No □ Yes✓No
C. Planning and Zoning	-	·		
C.1. Planning and zoning acti				
only approval(s) which must be • If Yes, complete section	e granted to enat ons C, F and G.	mendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule of the proposed action to proceed? Inplete all remaining sections and questions in P		Z Yes□No
C.2. Adopted land use plans.				
a. Do any municipally- adopted where the proposed action w		age or county) comprehensive land use plan(s)	include the site	□Yes Z No
If Yes, does the comprehensive would be located?	plan include spe	ecific recommendations for the site where the pr	roposed action	□Yes ✓ No
b. Is the site of the proposed act Brownfield Opportunity Are or other?) If Yes, identify the plan(s):	tion within any l a (BOA); design	ocal or regional special planning district (for exated State or Federal heritage area; watershed n	ample: Greenway; nanagement plan;	□Yes ☑ No
		-		
c. Is the proposed action locate or an adopted municipal farm If Yes, identify the plan(s):	ed wholly or parti mland protection	ially within an area listed in an adopted municip n plan?	pal open space plan,	∐Yes ∡ No

C.3. Zoning	·
a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance. If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?	□ Yes ☑ No
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit?	☐ Yes Z No
c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? If Yes, i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site?	☐ Yes Z No
C.4. Existing community services.	
a. In what school district is the project site located? N/A	
b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site? N/A	
c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site? N/A	
d. What parks serve the project site? N/A	
D. Project Details	
D.1. Proposed and Potential Development	
a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed components)?	l, include all
b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? acres	
 c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles square feet)? %	☐ Yes☐ No , housing units,
d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision?	□Yes □No
If Yes, i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types)	
 ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? iii. Number of lots proposed? iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum Maximum 	□Yes □No
e. Will the proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? i. If No, anticipated period of construction: ii. If Yes: • Total number of phases anticipated • Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) month year	□Yes□No
 Anticipated completion date of final phase monthyear Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progre determine timing or duration of future phases: 	

				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	□x7□x7-
	t include new resid				□Yes□No
If Yes, show num	bers of units propos	sed. Two Family	Three Family	Multiple Family (four or more)	
	One Family	1 wo ranny	Timee Taimiy	withtipic ranning from or more)	
Initial Phase			· · ·		
At completion		_			
of all phases					
a Door the prope	ged action include:	new non-residentia	l construction (incl	uding expansions)?	□Yes□No
If Yes,	seu action menue	new non-residentia	ii construction (mer	cump expansionsy.	
<i>i.</i> Total number	of structures				
ii. Dimensions	n feet) of largest pr	roposed structure:	height;	width; andlength	
iii. Approximate	extent of building s	space to be heated	or cooled:	square feet	
h Does the propo	sed action include	construction or oth	er activities that wi	ll result in the impoundment of any	□Yes□No
liquids, such as	creation of a water	r supply, reservoir,	pond, lake, waste l	lagoon or other storage?	
If Yes,	, 010461011 01 41 11 550	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,		
,	impoundment:				
ii. If a water imp	oundment, the princ	cipal source of the	water:	Ground water Surface water stream	ns Other specify:
		•			
iii. If other than v	ater, identify the ty	/pe of impounded/o	contained liquids ar	nd their source.	
	1 01	d !	Volumes	million gallone: gurface area:	acres
iv. Approximate	size of the proposed	a impounament. 	volume,	million gallons; surface area:height;length	20103
v. Dimensions o	i the proposed dam	or impounding su for the proposed da	m or impounding st	tructure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, conc	erete):
vi. Construction	method/materials i	or the proposed da	in of impounding s	tractare (e.g., earni iii, rook, wood, conc	
D.2. Project Op	erations				_
			ning or dradging	during construction, operations, or both?	Yes No
a. Does the propo	sed action include	any excavation, mi	ming, or areaging, o	s or foundations where all excavated	resre
(Not including materials will r		ation, grading or in	stanation of utilities	s of foundations where all excavated	
If Yes:	emam onsite)				
	rnose of the excava	ation or dredging?			
ii How much ma	terial (including ro	ck earth sediment	s. etc.) is proposed	to be removed from the site?	
• Volume	(specify tons or cul	hic vards):	a, e.e., 10 p. op 2500		
Over wh	at duration of time	7		· ·	
iii Describe natu	re and characteristic	cs of materials to b	e excavated or drec	lged, and plans to use, manage or dispose	e of them.
m, Dogorioo nava				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
			cavated materials?		☐Yes☐No
If yes, descri	be				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			<u> </u>		
	tal area to be dredg			acres	
vi. What is the m	aximum area to be	worked at any one	time?	acres	
vii. What would b	e the maximum de	pth of excavation of	or dredging?	feet	
	ivation require blas				□Yes□No
ix. Summarize sit	e reclamation goals	and plan:			
					·
					<u></u>
b. Would the pro-	posed action cause	or result in alteration	on of, increase or d	ecrease in size of, or encroachment	∐Yes∐No
into any existi	ng wetland, waterb	ody, shoreline, bea	ich or adjacent area	?	
If Yes:					
i. Identify the v	etland or waterbod	ly which would be	affected (by name,	water index number, wetland map numb	er or geographic
description):					·

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, plac alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in	cement of structures, or
	r square reet or acres.
iii. Will the proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? If Yes, describe:	□Yes □No
iv. Will the proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes:	
acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed:	
expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion:	
• purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access):	
proposed method of plant removal:	
 proposed method of plant removal: if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): 	
v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance:	
c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water?	□Yes □No
If Yes:	1 esido
i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: gallons/day	
ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply?	□Yes □No
If Yes:	
Name of district or service area:	
 Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? 	☐ Yes☐ No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	☐Yes☐No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	. □Yes□No
Do existing lines serve the project site?	☐ Yes☐ No
iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? If Yes:	□Yes□No
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
Source(s) of supply for the district:	
iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? If, Yes:	☐ Yes☐No
Applicant/sponsor for new district:	
Date application submitted or anticipated:	
Proposed source(s) of supply for new district:	
v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project:	
vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), what is the maximum pumping capacity:	gallons/minute.
d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
 i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: gallons/day ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, described 	
ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe approximate volumes or proportions of each):	e all components and
iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? If Yes:	
Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used:	
Name of district:	· ·
Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project?	□Yes□No
• Is the project site in the existing district?	□Yes□No
• Is expansion of the district needed?	□Yes□No

Do existing sewer lines serve the project site?	☐Yes ☐No
Will a line extension within an existing district be necessary to serve the project?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project:	
iv. Will a new wastewater (sewage) treatment district be formed to serve the project site?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	
 Applicant/sponsor for new district: Date application submitted or anticipated: 	
Date application submitted or anticipated: What is the receiving water for the wastewater discharge?	
v. If public facilities will not be used, describe plans to provide wastewater treatment for the project, including spec	ifving proposed
receiving water (name and classification if surface discharge or describe subsurface disposal plans):	
vi. Describe any plans or designs to capture, recycle or reuse liquid waste:	
e. Will the proposed action disturb more than one acre and create stormwater runoff, either from new point	□Yes□No
sources (i.e. ditches, pipes, swales, curbs, gutters or other concentrated flows of stormwater) or non-point source (i.e. sheet flow) during construction or post construction?	
If Yes:	
i. How much impervious surface will the project create in relation to total size of project parcel?	
Square feet or acres (impervious surface) Square feet or acres (parcel size)	
ii. Describe types of new point sources.	
iii. Where will the stormwater runoff be directed (i.e. on-site stormwater management facility/structures, adjacent p groundwater, on-site surface water or off-site surface waters)?	roperties,
If to surface waters, identify receiving water bodies or wetlands:	
Will stormwater runoff flow to adjacent properties?	□Yes□No
iv. Does the proposed plan minimize impervious surfaces, use pervious materials or collect and re-use stormwater?	□Yes□No
f. Does the proposed action include, or will it use on-site, one or more sources of air emissions, including fuel	□Yes□No
combustion, waste incineration, or other processes or operations?	
If Yes, identify:	
i. Mobile sources during project operations (e.g., heavy equipment, fleet or delivery vehicles)	
ii. Stationary sources during construction (e.g., power generation, structural heating, batch plant, crushers)	
iii. Stationary sources during operations (e.g., process emissions, large boilers, electric generation)	
g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit,	□Yes□No
or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit?	L 1 V C L 1 · · ·
If Yes:	r"Tsz□Mo
i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year)	□Yes□No
ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate:	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N ₂ O)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)	
• Tons/year (short tons) of Yerhudolocarbons (17 co) Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF ₆)	
Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydroflourocarbons (HFCs)	
Tanglagar (chart tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)	

h Will the appropriate appropriate on smit mathema (incl	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (incl landfills, composting facilities)?	luding, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants,	□Yes□No
If Yes:		
i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric):		
ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination n	neasures included in project decign (e.g. combustion to a	ranarata haat an
electricity, flaring):		cherate heat or
i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollu	tants from open-air operations or processes, such as	☐Yes No
quarry or landfill operations?	turns from open-an operations of processes, such as	[] I cs[]INO
If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g.,	diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust):	
j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase i	n traffic above progent levels as a second of the still	
new demand for transportation facilities or services?	in traffic above present levels or generate substantial	∐Yes∐No
If Yes:		
i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply	/): ☐ Morning ☐ Evening ☐ Weekend	
Randomly between hours of to		
Randomly between hours of to ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of tr	uck trips/day and type (e.g., semi trailers and dump truck	:(2):
	1 VI (18.5) The same same same same same same same sam	
iii. Parking spaces: Existing	Proposed Net increase/decrease	
iv Does the proposed action include any characture will	Net increase/decrease	
iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parki		□Yes□No
v. If the proposed action includes any modification of ex	using roads, creation of new roads or change in existing	access, describe:
vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities	available within 1/4 mile of the proposed site?	Yes No
vii Will the proposed action include access to public transp	portation or accommodations for use of hybrid electric	Yes No
or other alternative fueled vehicles?	portained of decommodations for use of myorid, electric	
viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian of	or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing	□Yes□No
pedestrian or bicycle routes?	,	
k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial p	110	——————————————————————————————————————
for energy?	rojects only) generate new or additional demand	∐Yes∐No
If Yes:		
i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of	the proposed action:	
* 25 maio amata orotatony domana daring operation of	the proposed action.	
ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the proje	ect (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/l	ocal utility or
other):	(oodi diiiity, oi
iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade, t	o an existing substation?	☐Yes ☐No
l. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.		
i. During Construction:	ii. During Operations:	
Monday - Friday:	Monday - Friday:	
Saturday:	• Saturday:	
Sunday:	• Sunday:	
Holidays:	Holidays:	

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction,	□Yes□No
operation, or both?	
If yes:	
i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:	,
	TIN- TINE
ii. Will the proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen?	□Yes□No
Describe:	·
n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If yes:	
i. Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:	
ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen?	□Yes□No
Describe:	<u> </u>
Describe:	
	Mac Mar
o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day?	□Yes□No
If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest	
occupied structures:	
p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons)	☐ Yes ☐ No
or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage?	
If Yes:	
i. Product(s) to be stored	
ii. Volume(s) per unit time (e.g., month, year)	
iii. Generally, describe the proposed storage facilities:	
	Flor Park
q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides,	∐ Yes ∐No
insecticides) during construction or operation?	
If Yes:	
i. Describe proposed treatment(s):	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices?	☐ Yes ☐No
r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal	☐ Yes ☐No
of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)?	
If Yes:	
i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:	
• Construction: tons per (unit of time)	
Operation: tons per (unit of time) ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste	
Construction:	
Operation:	
211 Designed diseased mathedalfacilities for solid waste generated on site:	
iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:	
• Construction:	
• Operation:	
Operation:	

s. Does the proposed action include construction or mod	lification of a solid waste ma	nagement facility?	☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes: Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (a.g., recycling or transfer station) compacting law 4511 and			
i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities):			
ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing:			
• Tons/month, if transfer or other non-		ent, or	
• Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal	treatment		
iii. If landfill, anticipated site life:	years		
t. Will the proposed action at the site involve the comme	ercial generation, treatment,	storage, or disposal of hazardo	ous Yes No
waste?			
If Yes: Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	a congreted bandled on man	and at facility	
i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be	e generated, nandled or man	aged at facility:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving	hazardous wastes or constitu	ients:	
iii. Specify amount to be handled or generatedt	÷		·
iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, rec	ons/monui evoling or reuse of hazardou	s constituents:	
- Proposals for our site imministration, rec	Johns of rouse of hazardou	s constituents.	
v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing	g offsite hazardous waste fac	cility?	□Yes□No
If Yes: provide name and location of facility:			
If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous	wastes which will not be ser	at to a hazardous waste facility	<i>I</i> '
	William Will Hot of Joh		, .

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action			
E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site			-1
a. Existing land uses.		•	
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the	project site		•
☐ Urban ☐ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Resid	dential (suburban) 🔲 Rur	al (non-farm)	
☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other	r (specify):		
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe:			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or	Current	Acreage After	Change
Covertype	Acreage	Project Completion	(Acres +/-)
Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious			
surfaces • Forested			
Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non- agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)			
Agricultural			
(includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)			
Surface water features		-	
(lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	,		•
Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)		<u>'-</u>	·
Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)			
	144		
Other Describe:			

c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? i. If Yes: explain:	□Yes□No
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? If Yes,	□Yes□No
i. Identify Facilities:	
e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? If Yes:	☐Yes☐No
i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:	
Dam height: feet	
• Dam length: feet	•
• Surface area: acres	
Volume impounded: gallons OR acre-feet	
ii. Dam's existing hazard classification:iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection:	
m, Provide date and summarize results of fast inspection.	
f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility Yes:	□Yes□No lity?
i. Has the facility been formally closed?	☐Yes☐ No
If yes, cite sources/documentation:	—— ,
ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility:	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities:	
g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? If Yes:	∐Yes□No
i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurr	red:
h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site?	□Yes□ No
If Yes: i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply:	∐Yes□No
Yes - Spills Incidents database Provide DEC ID number(s):	
☐ Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database Provide DEC ID number(s): Neither database	
ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures:	
iii. Is the project within 2000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? If yes, provide DEC ID number(s):	□Yes□No
iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s):	

v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses?	□Yes□No
 If yes, DEC site ID number: Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): 	
Describe any use limitations:	
 Describe any engineering controls: Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? 	□Yes□No
Explain:	
E 2 Natural December On a Natural State	
E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? feet	
b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings?	∏Yes∏No
c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site:	%
	% %
d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Average: feet	·
e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: % of site	
☐ Moderately Well Drained:% of site ☐ Poorly Drained % of site	•
	111
f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 0-10%: % of site 10-15%: % of site	
15% or greater: % of site	
g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? If Yes, describe:	☐ Yes ☐ No
h. Surface water features. i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers,	□Yes□No
ponds or lakes)? ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site?	∐Yes □ No
If Yes to either i or ii, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.	П П
iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, state or local agency?	□Yes□No
iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:	
• Streams: Name Classification	
Lakes or Ponds: Name Classification	
 Wetlands: Name Approximate Size Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC) 	
v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired	□Yes□No
waterbodies?	
If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired:	
i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway?	
	□Yes□No
j. Is the project site in the 100-year Floodplain?	□Yes □No
k. Is the project site in the 500-year Floodplain?	□Yes□No
l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? If Yes:	□Yes□No
i. Name of aquifer:	

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use th	e project site:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
m. Ruennry the predominant whente species that occupy of use in	e project site.	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural con	mmunity?	☐Yes☐No
If Yes:	and for declaration).	•
i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and be	asis for designation):	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation:		, , ,
iii. Extent of community/habitat:		
Currently:	acres	•
Following completion of project as proposed:		
Gain or loss (indicate + or -):		•
	11 41 6 1 1	□v/ □v/
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is li		☐ Yes☐No
endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified	as natitat for all endangered of timeatened spec	nes:
If Yes:		
i. Species and listing (endangered or threatened):		<u>.</u>
·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that	t is listed by NYS as rare or as a species of	□Yes□No
special concern?	. Is listed by TVT is desirate, or as a species of	
If Yes:		
i. Species and listing:		
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, to	rapping, fishing or shell fishing?	☐Yes ☐No
If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may aff		
	.	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site		
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated ag		□Yes□No
Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and		
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number:		
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils pres	ent?	∐Yes∐No
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site?		
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s):		
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially	contiguous to a registered National	□Yes□No
Natural Landmark?	configuous to, a registered readonal	
If Yes:	•	
	ity Geological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind	d designation and approximate size/extent:	
<u></u>		
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critic	al Environmental Area?	□Yes□No
If Yes:	al Environmental Aleas	100100
ii. Basis for designation:		
iii. Designating agency and date:		

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the Commissi Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places:	Yes No ioner of the NYS laces?
i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District ii. Name:	
iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:	
f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	☐Yes ☐No
g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? If Yes:	∐Yes ∐No
i. Describe possible resource(s): ii. Basis for identification:	
h. Is the project site within fives miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? If Yes: i. Identify resource:	□Yes□No
ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or etc.):	scenic byway,
iii. Distance between project and resource: miles.	
 i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? If Yes: i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: 	☐ Yes☐No
ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666?	□Yes□No
F. Additional Information Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project. If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those in measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.	npacts plus any
G. Verification I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.	
Applicant/Sponsor Name New York State Thruway Authority Date April 12, 2023	· ·
Signature 2 house Title Director, Transportation Planning & Envir	ro. Services

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project : Date :

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency **and** the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1) If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	□ NO □ YES		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d		
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli		
h. Other impacts:			

2. Impact on Geological Features			
The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	it □ NC) 🗆	YES
ij Tes , unswer questions a c. ij 110 , move on to section 3.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature:	ЕЗс		
c. Other impacts:			
3. Impacts on Surface Water The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	□NC) 🗖	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d		
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h		
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing,	D1a, D2d		

wastewater treatment facilities.

1. Other impacts:			
4 Tours of an annual landon			
4. Impact on groundwater The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquife (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	□ NC er.) <u> </u>	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l		
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding			
The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	□NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			
6. Impacts on Air The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	□NC) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
 a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N₂O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane 	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g		
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			
	1	•	1
7. Impact on Plants and Animals The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	□NO	□ YES
ij Tes , unswer questions a - j. ij 100 , move on to section 6.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

a The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	F2c F3b		П
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</i>	·	□ NO	□ YES
Q. January A. and A. and and Lange I. D. and and an			
j. Other impacts:			
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source:	E1b		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source:	E2n		
e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	Е3с		

The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.) If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.		□ NO	☐ YES
ij Tes , answer questions a n. ij Tvo , move on to section 2.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b		
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, Elb		
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b		
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a		
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	El a, E1b		
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d		
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c		
h. Other impacts:			

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	□ NO □ YES		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b		
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is:i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from workii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c		_ _
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h		
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile ½ -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	□ No	0 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
 The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property. 	E3e, E3g, E3f		
 The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity. 	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	□ No) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q		
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.) 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:			

13. Impact on Transportation		_	
The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)	. \square NO) 🗆	YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j		
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j		
f. Other impacts:			
The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	□NO) [YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k		
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k		
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g		
e. Other Impacts:			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.) If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.			YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m		
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d		
nospital, sensol, needsed day care center, of narsing nome.			

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	
f. Other impacts:		

16. Impact on Human Health The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure \square NO \square YES to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.) If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17. Relevant No,or Moderate Part I small to large **Ouestion(s)** impact impact may may cccur occur a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day П П E1d care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community. Elg, Elh b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation. Elg, Elh П c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action. Elg, Elh d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the П property (e.g., easement or deed restriction). e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place Elg, Elh П to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health. D2t f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future П П generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health. D2q, E1f g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste П management facility. h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste. D2q, E1f П D2r, D2s i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste. j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of E1f, E1g E1h a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste. E1f, E1g k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill П П site to adjacent off site structures. D2s, E1f, 1. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the D2r project site. m. Other impacts:

17. Consistency with Community Plans			
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.	□ NO		/ES
(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)			
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.		T	T
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact	to large impact may
	Question(s)	may occur	occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
18. Consistency with Community Character			
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	□ NC		/ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g		
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4		
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3		
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3		
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h		
g. Other impacts:			

Project : Date :

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
 occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
 there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
 environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

The New York State Thruway Authority proposes the adoption of a new toll schedule at all toll locations on the Thruway System in order to provide funding necessary to finance the Authority's Capital Plan, maintain and operate their highway system, and comply with the Authority's General Revenue Bond Resolution and Fiscal Management Guidelines. NOTE THAT THE PROPOSED ACTION DOES NOT INCLUDE ANY CONSTRUCTION OR PHYSICAL ALTERATIONS, ONLY TOLL RATES ARE AFFECTED. The proposed toll schedule would, on January 1, 2024 and January 1, 2027, increase the base NY E-ZPass rates by 5% from their prior levels. In addition, at the Governor Mario M. Cuomo Bridge ("GMMCB"), the base NY E-ZPass passenger car toll would be increased by 50 cents a year from January 2024 through January 2027. GMMCB passenger cars on the Westchester/Rockland Resident Plan will see their discount change from the current 17% to 20%, and the 40% commuter discount program would be maintained. System-wide out-of-state E-ZPass and Tolls by Mail tolls would be increased from their current 15% and 30% differentials above the NY E-ZPass rate, respectively, to 75% above the NY E-ZPass rate. Commercial rates would be increased proportionately to the car rates. The proposed toll modification will divert a small amount of traffic to alternate routes, however, it is expected to have only a very minimal impact on alternate route congestion and air quality.

As Lead Agency, in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), New York State Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Article 8 and implementing regulations, 6 New York Codes Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Part 617 et seq., the Authority has classified the proposed project as a Type I Action. The Authority has also determined the proposed Project will not have a potentially significant adverse environmental impact.

See attached Part 3 Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Non-Significance.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions						
SEQR Status:	☐ Type 1	☐ Unlisted				
Identify portions of EAF	completed for this Project:	□ Part 1	□ Part 2	□ Part 3		
					Dage 235 of	

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional supp Stantec's 2023 report entitled "New York State Thruway Authority Environmental Assess	
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, i	t is the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
☐ A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, an statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.	d, therefore, an environmental impact
☐ B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the	
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, a declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTI	
☐ C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.	
Name of Action: Adoption of New Toll Schedule Regulation	
Name of Lead Agency: New York State Thruway Authority	
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	
Title of Responsible Officer:	
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:	Date:
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)	Date:
For Further Information:	
Contact Person:	
Address:	
Telephone Number:	
E-mail:	
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is	sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principall Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any) Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.nv.gov/enb/enb.html	y located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Non-Significance

New York State Thruway Authority Adoption of New Toll Schedule Regulation – Statewide

The New York State Thruway Authority (Authority) proposes the adoption of a New Schedule Regulation - Statewide. This adoption of the new regulation (Proposed Action) entails four incremental toll increases in 2024 through 2027 at the Governor Mario M. Cuomo Bridge (GMMCB), and two toll increases, in 2024 and 2027, on the remainder of the Thruway System. As Lead Agency, in accordance with the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), New York Environmental Conservation Law (ECL) Article 8 and implementing regulations, 6 New York Codes Rules and Regulations (NYCRR) Part 617 et seq., the Authority has classified the action as a Type I Action. This notice is issued pursuant to and in accordance with SEQRA. The Authority has determined that the proposed Action will not have a potentially significant adverse environmental impact and has issued a Negative Declaration.

Description of Proposed Action

The Authority is proposing a toll modification regulation which would, on January 1, 2024 and January 1, 2027, increase the base NY E-ZPass rates by 5 percent from their prior levels. In addition, at the Governor Mario M. Cuomo Bridge ("GMMCB"), the base NY E-ZPass passenger car toll would be increased by 50 cents a year from January 2024 through January 2027. GMMCB passenger cars on the Westchester/Rockland Resident Plan will see their discount change from the current 17 percent to 20 percent, and the 40 percent commuter discount program would be maintained. Out-of-state E-ZPass and Tolls by Mail tolls would be increased system-wide from their current 15 and 30 percent differentials above the NY E-ZPass rate, respectively, to 75 percent above the NY E-ZPass rate. At each toll facility other than the GMMCB, between 73 percent and 84 percent of passenger cars have NY E-ZPass accounts and therefore would see their tolls increase by only 10 percent through 2027 with the proposed regulation. The majority of passenger cars at the GMMCB – 75 percent – have NY E-ZPass and would see a total toll increase of 31 to 35 percent from the current rates through 2027. Truck tolls at all locations would be increased proportionately to car tolls by payment type.

The Authority's objectives for this Proposed Action are to provide funding necessary to finance the Authority's Capital Plan, maintain and operate the Thruway System, and comply with the Authority's General Revenue Bond Resolution and Fiscal Management Guidelines.

Because the Proposed Action only involves toll modifications, and no construction or physical alterations, no significant impacts are expected. In addition, because there is no longer any cash toll collection anywhere on the Thruway System, impacts are limited to those caused by traffic diversion off the Thruway System to alternate routes, which is expected to be minimal.

Evaluation of Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Authority retained Stantec to prepare an Environmental Assessment Report (Report) dated -- titled: "New York State Thruway Authority Environmental Assessment for Proposed Toll

Modification," which evaluated and analyzed all aspects of the Proposed Action, including the need for the toll modification and potential environmental impacts. In addition to the analyses in the Report, the Proposed Action's environmental impacts have been thoroughly evaluated in accordance with 6 NYCRR Part 617.7, including the SEQRA Full Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF), Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts.

The entire FEAF, Parts 1, 2 and 3, are included as appendices to the Report.

Although the Authority has determined that none of the Potential Project Impacts identified in the FEAF Part 2 are "Moderate to Large," which requires further explanation of the Proposed Action's impacts on the environment, this Determination of Significance nonetheless identifies and evaluates relevant potential impacts from this Proposed Action. Additional detail and information are available in the "New York State Thruway Authority Environmental Assessment for Proposed Toll Modification" Report and appendices.

Potential Environmental Impacts

The Authority has carefully considered all potential environmental impacts associated with the Proposed Action and reviewed the criteria for determining significance pursuant to 6 NYCRR Section 617.7 (c) (1). Based on that criteria, as follows, the Authority has determined to issue a Negative Declaration for the Proposed Action, "Adoption of New Toll Schedule Regulation - Statewide."

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (i)

The Authority determined the Proposed Action is not likely to cause substantial adverse changes to existing air quality (see item 6 below), ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic (see item 13 below), noise levels, level of solid waste production, or the potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (ii)

The Proposed Action does not involve construction or any other physical disturbance and therefore is not anticipated to: (a) remove or destruct large quantities of vegetation or fauna; (b) create substantial interference with the movement of any resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; (c) create impacts on a significant habitat area; (d) create substantial adverse impacts on threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or (e) cause other significant adverse impacts to natural resources.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (iii)

The Proposed Action does not involve construction or any other physical disturbance and therefore is not expected to cause impairments to the characteristics of any Critical Environmental Areas as designated under 6 NYCRR Part 617.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (iv)

The Proposed Action does not present any conflict with a community's current plans or goals, officially adopted or approved (see item 17 below). The Proposed Action does not pose any conflict with existing zoning laws.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (v)

The Proposed Action will not impair the character or quality of historic, archaeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (vi)

The Proposed Action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (vii)

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to create a hazard to human health. No construction is involved, and air quality impact due to traffic diversion from the Thruway System to alternate routes is anticipated to be minimal. (see item 6 below.)

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (viii)

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to result in a significant change in the use, and/or intensity of use of land. There are no agricultural resources that would be affected by the Proposed Action, and the Proposed Action will have no effect on existing open space and recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (ix)

The Proposed Action will not encourage or attract a large number of people to a place for more than a few days. The Proposed Action is not anticipated to result in significant additional users or significant changes of use to the Thruway System or off the Thruway System.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (x)

The Proposed Action is not anticipated to create a material demand for other actions that would result in one of the above consequences.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (xi)

The Proposed Action does not create impacts to two or more elements of the environment that, collectively, would result in a substantial adverse environmental impact.

6 NYCRR 617.7 (c) (1) (xii)

The Proposed Action has been reviewed with full consideration of any potential cumulative effects resulting from multiple actions on the same resource(s). This regulation notes that cumulative impacts can result from actions which individually produce minor impacts, but cumulatively result in significant impacts over time. The Proposed Action does not involve any construction or any other physical disturbance and is only expected to result in a small amount of traffic diversion off of the Thruway System, which would shift to a number of alternate routes throughout the State. No past or future actions are known that, in combination with the Proposed Action, are anticipated to produce significant impacts on transportation, air quality, or any other element of the environment.

Discussion of Part 2 of the Full EAF, "Identification of Potential Project Impacts"

The following provides detail on the sections of Part 2 of the Full EAF where there was a response of "Yes" for relevant potential impacts.

6) Impact on Air Quality

An air quality analysis was conducted to capture the air quality impacts associated with potential traffic diversions resulting from the Proposed Action. Although the Proposed Action would not spur a change in regional traffic volumes, it may cause some traffic to divert to alternate travel routes (as discussed in the following section, "Impact on Transportation"). Vehicles choosing to divert to alternate travel routes can affect local and regional air quality. Diverted vehicles can

add to the existing vehicle population already present on local roadways and decrease travel speeds which would lead to increased idling, braking and acceleration as compared to Thruway System travel. These factors affect the rate at which vehicles emit air pollutants. In addition, diverted routes can increase the total distance traveled when compared with the same vehicle trip on the Thruway System.

The analysis was conducted for both "No Build" and "Build" alternatives, and the resulting change in emissions between the two conditions was evaluated. The No Build alternative represents no changes to the current toll rates, and the Build alternative represents the proposed toll rate schedule. The analysis was conducted for the year 2027, at which point all of the proposed toll modifications will have taken effect on the Thruway System. Note that the Authority completed implementation of its cashless tolling program in late 2020; therefore, a change in toll rates will have no negative impact on air quality at toll locations as vehicles will no longer be queuing or stopping to pay.

The potential impact of the Proposed Action on air quality was assessed regarding local/regional pollutant concentrations along the impacted roads, and region-wide emissions burden in non-attainment and maintenance areas across New York State. The air quality analysis was conducted for criteria pollutants (carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxide, particulate matter, and volatile organic compounds) and greenhouse gases (GHGs) associated with onroad vehicles.

A screening was conducted for possible project-level microscale particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) hot spot conformity analysis based on criteria presented in the Federal Transportation Conformity Regulation at 40 United States Code of Federal Regulations Section 93.123 (b) (1). As the affected roadways will not have a substantial increase in diesel vehicles, and the proposed toll modification will not result in a significant adverse impact to traffic volumes from diesel vehicles, a PM_{2.5} hot spot analysis is not required. The Authority has concluded that the Proposed Action is therefore not a project of air quality concern as per these criteria and the associated United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) guidance.

The Air Quality Chapter of New York State Department of Transportation's The Environmental Manual (TEM) states that a carbon monoxide (CO) microscale analysis is not warranted if none of the screening criteria are met or exceeded. As indicated under "Impact on Transportation", none of the impacted roadway segments has an increase of 10 percent or more in traffic volumes. Consistent with the very minor traffic changes on all impacted routes, the study has anticipated that the resulting changes in speed and delay would also be minor. In addition, a significant reduction in speed (20 percent) is not anticipated on any of the impacted routes. The results of the Capture Criteria Screening indicate that none of the screening criteria are met, therefore no carbon monoxide (CO) microscale analysis is warranted.

Levels of ozone - which are formed by nitrogen oxide and volatile organic compounds - can be affected by changes in Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT). The difference in VMT between the Build and No Build scenarios ranges between a 0.8 percent decrease and a 0.9 percent increase for all non-attainment and maintenance counties, with most regions showing almost no perceptible difference in VMT with the Proposed Action. In addition, based on the NYSDOT Environmental Science Bureau (ESB) Draft 2003 Greenhouse Gas and Energy Analysis Guidelines, this small change in VMT plus an increase in corridor VMT of less than 10 percent means that the criteria requiring a GHG analysis have not been met.

The Authority has also determined that the Proposed Action will generate minimal air quality impacts for Clean Air Act criteria pollutants and has not been linked with any special mobile sources. The Proposed Action is not anticipated to have a significant adverse impact on local pollutant concentrations or contribute to a significant increase in regional emissions. Increases in traffic on local highways would not reach critical emission thresholds, contributing only negligible pollutant burdens as a percentage of regional transportation-based emissions.

13) Impact on Transportation

The Proposed Action will not generate any new traffic. The toll increase is expected to divert a small amount of traffic off the Thruway System to alternate routes.

Diversion percentages forecasted in November 2022 for the proposed toll modification were utilized to estimate the number of vehicles diverting off of each section of the Thruway System. For the traffic impact analysis, these diversion volumes were applied to roadways that would likely serve as alternate routes. The estimated maximum number of two-way diverted vehicles from the Thruway during the peak hour would be 188 from the controlled system segment between Interchanges 24 and 25 (3.2 percent diversion), and the maximum number of one-way diverted vehicles during the peak hour would be 159 from the New Rochelle Barrier (3.8 percent diversion). The average one-way peak hour diversion for all segments of the Thruway is 48 vehicles (3.2 percent diversion).

The traffic screening methodology evaluated alternate route segments that experience both congestion - a v/c ratio greater than 0.90 - and a traffic increase of greater than 10 percent during the peak hour/peak direction as a result of the proposed toll modification. No segments that met both these criteria were found; however, several congested segments (v/c greater than 0.90) were discovered to have a potential traffic increase of 1 to 8 percent due to toll diversion. Note that this screening was based on a worst-case analysis where 100 percent of diverted traffic from a Thruway System segment is placed on each alternate route. Moreover, the v/c ratios on already-congested alternate route segments are not anticipated to significantly deteriorate, with potential increases between 0.01 and 0.07 as a result of the proposed toll modifications. (Again, this assumes very conservatively that *all* diversion traffic is applied to *each* alternate route, with more distant routes and overall trip reductions not considered; if the diversion were split among routes, almost all routes would see smaller increases in their traffic and v/c ratios.)

For example, Route 32/Pearl Street in Albany is a less likely diversion route than the larger nearby Route 9W, or the Taconic State Parkway across the Hudson River, and will likely absorb only a fraction of the 64 passenger car equivalents expected to divert from the adjacent section of the Thruway during the peak hour. While many segments along alternate routes currently experience congested traffic conditions, the proposed toll modifications would have a negligible effect on existing traffic operations. The diverted traffic will likely be less than shown for this screening analysis since already-congested routes will not be attractive options. As the Proposed Action is not anticipated to result in a significant change to traffic operations on alternate routes nor exceed the impact thresholds noted above, no further analysis is deemed necessary.

It is also important to note that based on historical trends, diversions to alternate routes are likely to be temporary. Time and other factors (such as the value of time, fuel costs, and increased congestion) along local roads tend to reverse the diversion effects of toll increases and we expect this to be the case with this proposed toll modification. Therefore, no significant adverse impacts to transportation are anticipated from the Proposed Action.